Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original : **French** N°.: **ICC-01/04-01/06**

Date: 6 November 2006

PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER I

Before: Judge Claude Jorda, Presiding Judge

Judge Akua Kuenyehia Judge Sylvia Steiner

Registrar: Mr Bruno Cathala

SITUATION IN THE DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO IN THE CASE OF THE PROSECUTOR V. THOMAS LUBANGA DYILO

Public document

Decision on the Defence request for leave to appeal regarding the transmission of applications for victim participation

The Office of the Prosecutor Counsel for the Defence

Mr Luis Moreno Ocampo Mr Jean Flamme

Ms Fatou Bensouda Ms Véronique Pandanzyla

Mr Ekkehard Withopf

Legal Representatives for the Office of the Public Counsel for the

Applicants Defence

Mr Michel Shebele Ms Melinda Taylor

Mr Emmanuel Daoud

Mr Patrick Baudouin
Official Court Translation

PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER I ("The Chamber") of the International Criminal Court ("the Court"),

NOTING applications for participation in the proceedings a/0004/06¹, a/0005/06², $a/0006/06^3$, $a/0007/06^4$, $a/0008/06^5$, $a/0009/06^6$, $a/0016/06^7$, $a/0017/06^8$, $a/0018/06^9$, $a/0019/06^{10}$, $a/0020/06^{11}$, $a/0021/06^{12}$, $a/0022/06^{13}$, $a/0023/06^{14}$, $a/0024/06^{15}$, $a/0025/06^{16}$, $a/0026/06^{17}$, $a/0027/06^{18}$, $a/0028/06^{19}$, $a/0029/06^{20}$, $a/0030/06^{21}$, $a/0031/06^{22}$, $a/0032/06^{23}$, $a/0033/06^{24}$, $a/0034/06^{25}$, $a/0035/06^{26}$, $a/0036/06^{27}$, $a/0037/06^{28}$, $a/0038/06^{29}$, $a/0039/06^{30}$, $a/0040/06^{31}$, $a/0041/06^{32}$, $a/0042/06^{33}$, $a/0043/06^{34}$, $a/0044/06^{35}$, $a/0045/06^{36}$, $a/0046/06^{37}$,

¹ ICC-01/04-01/06-144-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-152-Conf-Exp.

² ICC-01/04-01/06-269-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-153-Conf-Exp.

³ ICC-01/04-01/06-154-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-157-Conf-Exp.

⁴ ICC-01/04-01/06-155-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-158-Conf-Exp.

⁵ ICC-01/04-01/06-156-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-159-Conf-Exp.

⁶ ICC-01/04-01/06-157-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-160-Conf-Exp.

⁷ ICC-01/04-01/06-237-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-178-Conf-Exp.

⁸ ICC-01/04-01/06-238-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-179-Conf-Exp.

⁹ ICC-01/04-01/06-239-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-180-Conf-Exp.

¹⁰ ICC-01/04-01/06-240-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-181-Conf-Exp.

¹¹ ICC-01/04-01/06-241-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-182-Conf-Exp.

¹² ICC-01/04-01/06-242-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-183-Conf-Exp.

¹³ ICC-01/04-01/06-243-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-184-Conf-Exp.

¹⁴ ICC-01/04-01/06-244-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-185-Conf-Exp.

¹⁵ ICC-01/04-01/06-245-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-186-Conf-Exp.

¹⁶ ICC-01/04-01/06-246-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-187-Conf-Exp.

¹⁷ ICC-01/04-01/06-247-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-188-Conf-Exp.

¹⁸ ICC-01/04-01/06-248-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-189-Conf-Exp.

¹⁹ ICC-01/04-01/06-249-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-190-Conf-Exp.

 $^{^{20}}$ ICC-01/04-01/06-250-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-191-Conf-Exp.

²¹ ICC-01/04-01/06-251-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-192-Conf-Exp.

²² ICC-01/04-01/06-252-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-193-Conf-Exp.

²³ ICC-01/04-01/06-253-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-194-Conf-Exp.

²⁴ ICC-01/04-01/06-254-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-195-Conf-Exp.

²⁵ ICC-01/04-01/06-255-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-196-Conf-Exp.

²⁶ ICC-01/04-01/06-256-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-197-Conf-Exp.

²⁷ ICC-01/04-01/06-257-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-198-Conf-Exp.

²⁸ ICC-01/04-01/06-258-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-199-Conf-Exp.

²⁹ ICC-01/04-01/06-259-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-200-Conf-Exp.

³⁰ ICC-01/04-01/06-260-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-201-Conf-Exp.

³¹ ICC-01/04-01/06-261-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-202-Conf-Exp.

³² ICC-01/04-01/06-262-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-203-Conf-Exp.

³³ ICC-01/04-01/06-263-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-204-Conf-Exp.

³⁴ ICC-01/04-01/06-264-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-205-Conf-Exp.

³⁵ ICC-01/04-01/06-265-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-206-Conf-Exp.

 $^{^{36}}$ ICC-01/04-01/06-266-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-207-Conf-Exp.

³⁷ ICC-01/04-01/06-267-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-208-Conf-Exp.

 $a/0047/06^{38}$, $a/0048/06^{39}$, $a/0049/06^{40}$, $a/0050/06^{41}$, $a/0051/06^{42}$, $a/0052/06^{43}$, $a/0053/06^{44}$, $a/0054/06^{45}$, $a/0055/06^{46}$, $a/0056/06^{47}$, $a/0057/06^{48}$, $a/0058/06^{49}$, $a/0059/06^{50}$, $a/0060/06^{51}$, $a/0061/06^{52}$, $a/0062/06^{53}$, $a/0063/06^{54}$ and $a/0071/06^{55}$ ("the Applications for participation") filed as confidential and ex parte on 7 June 2006, 31 July 2006, 3 and 4 August 2006 and on 6 September 2006 in the records of the case of *The Prosecutor v*. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo and of the investigation into the situation in the Democratic Republic of the Congo ("DRC"), in which the applicants seek the acknowledgement of the right to participate as victims in the proceedings in the case of *The Prosecutor v*. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo and the investigation into the situation in the DRC,

NOTING the Decision authorising the filing of observations on the applications for participation in the proceedings a/0004/06 to a/0009/06, a/0016/06 to a/0063/06 and a/0071/06, registered in the record of the case on 22 September 2006⁵⁶,

NOTING the request by the Defence for leave to appeal the Decision authorising the filing of observations on the applications for participation in the proceedings a/0004/06 to a/0009/06, a/0016/06 to a/0063/06 and a/0071/06 ("Defence Request") filed in the record of the case on 28 September 2006⁵⁷,

³⁸ ICC-01/04-01/06-216-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-171-Conf-Exp.

³⁹ ICC-01/04-01/06-217-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-172-Conf-Exp.

 $^{^{40}}$ ICC-01/04-01/06-218-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-173-Conf-Exp.

⁴¹ ICC-01/04-01/06-219-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-174-Conf-Exp.

⁴² ICC-01/04-01/06-220-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-175-Conf-Exp.

⁴³ ICC-01/04-01/06-221-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-176-Conf-Exp.

⁴⁴ ICC-01/04-01/06-412-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-215-Conf-Exp.

⁴⁵ ICC-01/04-01/06-413-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-216-Conf-Exp.

⁴⁶ ICC-01/04-01/06-414-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-217-Conf-Exp.

⁴⁷ ICC-01/04-01/06-415-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-218-Conf-Exp.

⁴⁸ ICC-01/04-01/06-416-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-219-Conf-Exp.

⁴⁹ ICC-01/04-01/06-417-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-220-Conf-Exp.

⁵⁰ ICC-01/04-01/06-418-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-221-Conf-Exp.

⁵¹ ICC-01/04-01/06-419-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-222-Conf-Exp.

⁵² ICC-01/04-01/06-420-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-223-Conf-Exp.

⁵³ ICC-01/04-01/06-421-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-224-Conf-Exp. ⁵⁴ ICC-01/04-01/06-422-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-225-Conf-Exp.

⁵⁵ ICC-01/04-01/06-423-Conf-Exp, ICC-01/04-227-Conf-Exp.

⁵⁶ ICC-01/04-01/06-463.

⁵⁷ ICC-01/04-01/06-487.

NOTING the response from the Prosecutor to the Defence request registered in the

record of the case on 3 October 200658,

PURSUANT to articles 57 (3) (c), 68 et 82 (1) (d) of the Rome Statute ("the Statute"),

rules 86 and 89 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("the Rules") and regulation

86 of the Regulations of the Court,

CONSIDERING that the Defence raises the issue of (1) whether the Chamber may

grant protective measures proprio motu without giving either the Defence or the

Prosecutor the possibility of submitting their observations; (2) whether the range of

protective measures which the Chamber may order under rule 81 (4) of the Rules

also includes the non-disclosure of the identity of the applicants to the Defence; (3)

whether the fact of placing the Prosecutor in a privileged position vis-à-vis his access

to the full applications for participation would run counter to the requirement of

fairness and equality of arms; (4) whether the failure by the Chamber to base its

decision on strict and transparent criteria in order to determine whether such

measures are necessary would violate the principle of presumption of innocence; (5)

whether the obligation of the Chamber to ensure fair proceedings requires that it set

time limits for the filing of the applications for participation in the proceedings,

CONSIDERING that article 82 (1) (d) of the Statute provides that either of the

parties may appeal a decision that raises an issue that would significantly affect the

fair and expeditious conduct of the proceedings, for which an immediate resolution

by the Appeals Chamber may materially advance the proceedings,

CONSIDERING that article 82 (1) (d) of the Statute has two components; that the

first concerns the pre-requisites for the definition of an appealable issue and the

4/8

⁵⁸ ICC-01/04-01/06-498.

Nº ICC-01/04-01/06

Official Court Translation

6 November 2006

second the criteria by reference to which the Pre-Trial Chamber may state such an issue for consideration by the Appeals Chamber⁵⁹,

CONSIDERING that, according to the decision rendered by the Appeals Chamber on 13 July 2006⁶⁰:

i. Only an "issue" may form the subject-matter of an appealable decision⁶¹;

ii. An issue is constituted by a subject the resolution of which is essential for the determination of matters arising in the judicial cause under examination⁶²;

iii. Not every issue may constitute the subject of an appeal, but it must be one apt to "significantly affect", i.e. in a material way, either a) "the fair and expeditious conduct of the proceedings", or b) "the outcome of the trial" 63; and

iv. Identification of an issue having the attributes adumbrated above does not automatically qualify it as the subject of an appeal in so far as it must be an issue "for which in the opinion of the Pre-Trial or Trial Chamber an immediate resolution by the Appeals Chamber may materially advance the proceedings" 64

CONSIDERING that the Chamber recalls that it is necessary to distinguish between (i) the non-disclosure of the identity of the Applicants during the application for participation procedure, in accordance with article 68 (1) of the Statute and rule 89 (1) of the Rules and (ii) the non-disclosure of the identity of the Applicants in accordance

⁵⁹ ICC-01/04-168, par. 8.

⁶⁰ ICC-01/04-168.

⁶¹ ICC-01/04-168, par. 9.

⁶² ICC-01/04-168, para.9.

⁶³ ICC-01/04-168, par. 10.

⁶⁴ ICC-01/04-168, par. 14.

with rules 87 and 88 of the Rules, once a) they have been granted the status of victim

in the case and b) that the manner in which they will participate has been defined⁶⁵,

CONSIDERING that rules 87 to 89 of the Rules derive from article 68 (1) of the

Statute,

CONSIDERING that rule 89 (1) specifically refers to the applications for the

participation of victims in the proceedings and that, accordingly, the non-disclosure

of the identity of the applicants to the Defence at this stage in the proceedings is a

measure decided proprio motu by the Chamber in application of article 68 (1) of the

Statute and rule 89 (1) of the Rules,

CONSIDERING moreover that rule 87 of the Rules does not apply to requests or

applications for protective measures vis-à-vis the Prosecutor or the Defence; that the

measures covered by this rule in principle concern the protective measures vis-à-vis

the public, the press or news agencies; and that consequently rule 87 (2) (a) requires

that these applications or requests not be presented *ex parte*,

CONSIDERING that only the arrangements for participation and the protection

measures granted to persons whose status as victims authorised to participate in the

proceedings has been recognised may raise, if applicable, an issue which might

significantly affect the fair and expeditious conduct of the proceedings⁶⁶,

⁶⁵ ICC-01/04-01/06-338.

⁶⁶ ICC-01/04-01/06-462, pages 6 et 7.

6 November 2006

6/8

CONSIDERING moreover that the criteria for assessing the equality of arms are

based on the circumstances of the case and on the de facto situation which resulted in

the matter originally being referred to the Chamber,

CONSIDERING that equality of arms implies the obligation to provide each party

with a reasonable opportunity to present his case to the court, including evidence, in

circumstances which do not place him at a substantial disadvantage vis-à-vis the

opposing party 67;

CONSIDERING that the Chamber is of the opinion that to grant the Prosecutor

access to the full applications for participation does not raise an issue of the fairness

of the proceedings in view of the obligations and powers of the Prosecutor in respect

of investigations and within the meaning of article 54 of the Statute and in particular

the fact that "[he] respects the interests and personal circumstances of victims and

witnesses",

CONSIDERING moreover that the Chamber holds that not disclosing the identity of

the applicants to the Defence does not constitute an infringement of the presumption

of innocence afforded to Thomas Lubanga Dyilo but constitutes a measure allowing

the applicants to make an application for participation whilst protecting their

security and well-being,

CONSIDERING that the Chamber held in its decision of 20 October 2006 that no

further applicants for participation would be entertained in the case of *The Prosecutor*

7/8

v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo prior to the confirmation of charges hearing⁶⁸,

⁶⁷ CEDH, 27 October 1993, Dombo Beheer v. The Netherlands, Series A, no 274.

FOR THESE REASONS

REJECTS the request of the Defence.

Done in English and French, the French version being authoritative.

[signed]
Judge Claude Jorda
Presiding Judge

[signed] **Judge Akua Kuenyehia**

[signed] **Judge Sylvia Steiner**

Dated this Monday 6 November 2006

At The Hague

The Netherlands